

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,333	08/19/2003	Dianne M. Goodwin	1166.1101101	3993
28075 CROMPTON.	7590 08/02/2007 SEAGER & TUFTE; LLC		EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3635	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/643,333	GOODWIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Basil Katcheves	3635		
To	he MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Odd for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 May 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition	of Claims		·		
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1,2,4-20 and 39-44 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) 8-20 and 39-44 is/are aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) 1,2,4-7 is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)∐ Th∈ Ap∣ Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner of drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the oplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the content of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite:		

Art Unit: 3635

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Newly amended claim 8 and newly added claim 40 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: These claims and their dependant claims are drawn to a non elected embodiment of a door which radially pivots about an attachment point. The applicant elected in the office action of 12/21/06 a door which slides open and closed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8-44 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,532,699 to Franklin et al.

Regarding claim 1, Franklin discloses a structure which has a double layered wall (fig. 6: 114, 112 & both inside and outside of wall fig. 10: 110, 144), the door (24) is positioned between the wall layers, and the door is configured to allow passage through

Art Unit: 3635

an entranceway into the structure. Franklin does not particularly disclose the structure as being collapsible. However, Franklin discloses portable structures (column 1, lines 29-32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the structure portable in order to relocate to better surroundings affording better lighting, a portable structure would have components that are removable, or collapsable, such as removable shelving, removable doors, etc, in order to aid in the relocation of the structure.

Regarding claim 2, Franklin discloses the door as fitting within the double layered wall (fig. 6: 114). The door shape is a shape capable of acting as a fan, pushing air.

Regarding claim 4, Franklin discloses the door as sliding and fitted between the layers (114).

Regarding claim 5, Franklin discloses a reinforcement member (132) coupled to the door).

Regarding claim 6, Franklin discloses the door as having a fastener (126) coupled to it.

Regarding claim 7, Franklin discloses the door as flexible (column 2, line 49).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the shape of a "fan shape". The applicant should note that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

Application/Control Number: 10/643,333

Art Unit: 3635

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Therefore, the "fan" shape may be a shape general capable of "fanning". A typical door is capable of this. Regarding the applicant's argument of the prior art, they are most under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to sliding doors in general.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3635

(571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

ΒĶ

Barkey

HRY EXAM 36